

Problem Set 1

Due Date: June 21, 2017

1. In class, we proved that for $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, A symmetric, (real) eigenvalues $\lambda_1 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n$, and orthonormal eigenvectors x_1, \dots, x_n , that

$$\lambda_{k+1} = \min_{x \perp \text{span}(x_1, \dots, x_k)} \frac{x^T A x}{x^T x}.$$

We asserted that it was also the case that

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{k+1} &= \min_{x \in \text{span}(x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n)} \frac{x^T A x}{x^T x} \\ &= \max_{x \perp \text{span}(x_{k+2}, \dots, x_n)} \frac{x^T A x}{x^T x} \\ &= \max_{x \in \text{span}(x_1, \dots, x_{k+1})} \frac{x^T A x}{x^T x}. \end{aligned}$$

Prove that the assertion is true.

2. (Optional) One problem with the characterization of eigenvalues of the previous problem is that it requires us to know the eigenvectors x_1, \dots, x_k (or x_{k+2}, \dots, x_n) in order to compute λ_{k+1} . The *Courant-Fischer theorem* gives us a more general way of computing these eigenvalues. Prove that the following is true:

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{k+1} &= \min_{W \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n: \dim(W)=k+1} \max_{x \in W} \frac{x^T A x}{x^T x} \\ &= \max_{W \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n: \dim(W)=n-k} \min_{x \in W} \frac{x^T A x}{x^T x}. \end{aligned}$$