

# Upward and Orthogonal Planarity are $W[1]$ -hard by Treewidth

Bart M. P. Jansen, **Liana Khazaliya**, Philipp Kindermann,  
Giuseppe Liotta, Fabrizio Montecchiani, Kirill Simonov

---

February 19, 2024

# Classical variants of planarity


Directed graph  $\vec{G}$



Upward planar drawing



Orthogonal drawing



# Upward/Orthogonal Planarity Testing

With fixed embedding:	pol y-time solvable	[Tamassia'87; BBLM'94]
With variable embedding:	NP-complete	[Garg, Tamassia'01]

Fixed-parameter tractability is a framework to deal with NP-hard problems:

- Choose a complexity parameter  $k$  independent of the input size  $n$
- Find an OPT solution in time  $f(k) \cdot n^{O(1)}$  for some function  $f$

# Upward/Orthogonal Planarity Testing

With fixed embedding:	pol y-time solvable	[Tamassia'87; BBLM'94]
With variable embedding:	NP-complete	[Garg, Tamassia'01]

Fixed-parameter tractability is a framework to deal with NP-hard problems:

- Choose a complexity parameter  $k$  independent of the input size  $n$
- Find an OPT solution in time  $f(k) n^{O(1)}$  for some function  $f$

# Upward/Orthogonal Planarity Testing

With fixed embedding:	pol y-time solvable	[Tamassia'87; BBLM'94]
With variable embedding:	NP-complete	[Garg, Tamassia'01]

Develop algorithms for graphs which are **large** but simply **structured**

**poly**: SP-graphs (both); max deg less than 4 (RP); one source (UP)

**FPT**: treedepth (UP), number of triconnected components (UP), number of sources (UP).

# Upward/Orthogonal Planarity Testing: treewidth

For the variable embedding:  $n^{O(tw)}$ -algorithms

Orthogonal: [GD 2019, E. Di Giacomo, G. Liotta, F. Montecchiani]

Upward: [SoCG 2022, S. Chaplick et al.]

Question: [SoCG 2022, S. Chaplick et al.]

Is Upward Planarity  $W[1]$ -hard of FPT when parameterized by  $tw$ ?

# Upward/Orthogonal Planarity Testing: treewidth

For the variable embedding:  $n^{O(\text{tw})}$ -algorithms

Orthogonal: [GD 2019, E. Di Giacomo, G. Liotta, F. Montecchiani]

Upward: [SoCG 2022, S. Chaplick et al.]

Question: [SoCG 2022, S. Chaplick et al.]

Is Upward Planarity  $W[1]$ -hard of FPT when parameterized by  $\text{tw}$ ?

# Upward/Orthogonal Planarity Testing: treewidth

For the variable embedding:  $n^{O(\text{tw})}$ -algorithms

Orthogonal: [GD 2019, E. Di Giacomo, G. Liotta, F. Montecchiani]

Upward: [SoCG 2022, S. Chaplick et al.]

Our Main Result:

Both Upward and Orthogonal Planarity testing are  $W[1]$ -hard.



# Upward/Orthogonal Planarity Testing: treewidth

For the variable embedding:  $n^{O(\text{tw})}$ -algorithms

Orthogonal: [GD 2019, E. Di Giacomo, G. Liotta, F. Montecchiani]

Upward: [SoCG 2022, S. Chaplick et al.]

Our Main Result:

Known  $n^{O(\text{tw})}$ -algorithms cannot be improved to  $n^{o(\text{tw})}$  under ETH.

# Overview [Key steps]

---

Multicolored Clique

All-or-Nothing Flow on Planar graphs

Circulating Orientation on Planar graphs

Orthogonal/Upward Planarity Testing

Concluding Remarks

# Multicolored Clique to All-or-Nothing Flow

---

# Multicolored Clique (MClique)

Multicolored Clique

Input: An undirected simple graph  $G$  and a partition of its vertex set into  $k$  sets  $V_1, \dots, V_k$ , each consisting of  $N$  vertices.

Parameter:  $k$ .

Question: Does  $G$  contain a clique  $C \subseteq V(G)$  such that  $|C \cap V_i| = 1$  for each  $i \in [k]$ ?


# Multicolored Clique (MClique)

## Multicolored Clique

Input: An undirected simple graph  $G$  and a partition of its vertex set into  $k$  sets  $V_1, \dots, V_k$ , each consisting of  $N$  vertices.

Parameter:  $k$ .

Question: Does  $G$  contain a clique  $C \subseteq V(G)$  such that  $|C \cap V_i| = 1$  for each  $i \in [k]$ ?




# Multicolored Clique (MClique)

## Multicolored Clique

Input: An undirected simple graph  $G$  and a partition of its vertex set into  $k$  sets  $V_1, \dots, V_k$ , each consisting of  $N$  vertices.

Parameter:  $k$ .

Question: Does  $G$  contain a clique  $C \subseteq V(G)$  such that  $|C \cap V_i| = 1$  for each  $i \in [k]$ ?



# All-or-Nothing Flow<sup>1</sup> (AoNF)

All or Nothing Flow

Input: A flow network  $(G, c, s, t)$  and a positive integer  $F$ .

Question: Does there exist an  $st$ -flow of value exactly  $F$ , such that the flow through any arc  $uv \in E(G)$  is either 0 or equal to  $c(uv)$ ?

---

<sup>1</sup>XNLP (at least W[1]-hard) when parameterized by  $\text{tw}$ : H. L. Bodlaender et al.  
Problems Hard for Treewidth but Easy for Stable Gonality, WG'22



# All-or-Nothing Flow (AoNF)

## Multicolored Clique

Input: An undirected simple graph  $G$  and a partition of its vertex set into  $k$  sets  $V_1, \dots, V_k$ , each consisting of  $N$  vertices.


Parameter:  $k$ .

Question: Does  $G$  contain a clique  $C \subseteq V(G)$  such that  $|C \cap V_i| = 1$  for each  $i \in [k]$ ?

## All or Nothing Flow

Input: A flow network  $(G, c, s, t)$  and a positive integer  $F$ .

Question: Does there exist an  $st$ -flow of value exactly  $F$ , such that the flow through any arc  $uv \in E(G)$  is either 0 or equal to  $c(uv)$ ?



# All-or-Nothing Flow (AoNF)

## Multicolored Clique

Input: An undirected simple graph  $G$  and a partition of its vertex set into  $k$  sets  $V_1, \dots, V_k$ , each consisting of  $N$  vertices.


Parameter:  $k$ .

Question: Does  $G$  contain a clique  $C \subseteq V(G)$  such that  $|C \cap V_i| = 1$  for each  $i \in [k]$ ?


## All or Nothing Flow

Input: A flow network  $(G, c, s, t)$  and a positive integer  $F$ .

Question: Does there exist an  $st$ -flow of value exactly  $F$ , such that the flow through any arc  $uv \in E(G)$  is either 0 or equal to  $c(uv)$ ?




AoNF:  $(G^\ell, c, s, t)$  and  $F = k(2kN + 2N)$





# MClique: $(G, (V_1, V_2, \dots, V_k)), j|V_j| = N$

$$V_i = \{v_{i,1}, v_{i,2}, \dots, v_{i,N}\}.$$



Non-edge  $v_{1,2}v_{k,1}$  of  $G$ .




Inflow  $\in [2kN + 2, 2kN + 2N]$ ;

Inflow is even.


MClique:  $(G, (V_1, V_2, \dots, V_k)), jV_j = N$

$$V_i = \{v_{i,1}, v_{i,2}, \dots, v_{i,N}\}.$$




Inflow  $\in [2kN+2, 2kN+2N]$ ;  
Inflow is even.

Non-edge  $v_{1,2}v_{k,1}$  of  $G$ .




MClique:  $(G, (V_1, V_2, \dots, V_k)), j|V_j| = N$

$$V_i = \{v_{i,1}, v_{i,2}, \dots, v_{i,N}\} \in G.$$




Inflow  $\in [2kN+2, 2kN+2N]$ ;  
Inflow is even.

Non-edge  $v_{1,2}v_{k,1}$  of  $G$ .



AoNF:  $(G^\ell, c, s, t)$  and  $F = k(2kN + 2N)$





# Planarization of the AoNF


---





# Observation




Planarizing a crossing of two edges via a degree-4 vertex does not change the answer, when the capacities of the edges differ.







AoNF:  $(G^\ell, c, s, t)$  and  $F = k(2kN + 2N)$



# Planar AoNF: $(G^{00}, c, s, t)$ and $F = k(2kN + 2N)$



# First remark: bounded pathwidth



# All-or-Nothing Flow (planar) to Circulating Orientation


---

# All-or-Nothing Flow (AoNF)

All or Nothing Flow

Input: A flow network  $(G, c, s, t)$  and a positive integer  $F$ .

Question: Does there exist an  $st$ -flow of value exactly  $F$ , such that the flow through any arc  $uv \in E(G)$  is either 0 or equal to  $c(uv)$ ?






# All-or-Nothing Flow (AoNF)

All or Nothing Flow

Input: A flow network  $(G, c, s, t)$  and a positive integer  $F$ .

Question: Does there exist an  $st$ -flow of value exactly  $F$ , such that the flow through any arc  $uv \in E(G)$  is either 0 or equal to  $c(uv)$ ?



# Circulating Orientation (CO)

## Circulating Orientation

Input: An undirected graph  $G$  with an edge-capacity function  $c: E(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ .

Question: Is it possible to orient the edges of  $G$ , such that for each vertex  $v \in V(G)$  the total capacity of edges oriented into  $v$  is equal to the total capacity of edges oriented out of  $v$ ? (Such an orientation is called a circulating orientation.)

# Circulating Orientation (CO)

## All or Nothing Flow


Input: A flow network  $(G, c, s, t)$  and a positive integer  $F$ .

Question: Does there exist an  $st$ -flow of value exactly  $F$ , such that the flow through any arc  $uv \in E(G)$  is either 0 or equal to  $c(uv)$ ?


## Circulating Orientation

Input: An undirected graph  $G$  with an edge-capacity function  $c: E(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_0$ .


Question: Is it possible to orient the edges of  $G$ , such that for each vertex  $v \in V(G)$  the total capacity of edges oriented into  $v$  is equal to the total capacity of edges oriented out of  $v$ ?




# AoNF to CO



# AoNF to CO





# AoNF to CO



$8 \leq v \leq 19$   $\forall v \in V(G)$   $n$  fs,  $tg$ :  $d_G^+(v) = d_G(v)$ ,  
the source has no incoming arcs,  
the sink has no outgoing arcs,  
and  $F = d_G^+(s)/2 = d_G(t)/2$ .


# AoNF to CO







## Second remark: a nice embedding




# Circulating Orientation to Upward Planarity Testing

---


## Theorem (Biedl'16)

*There is a polynomial-time algorithm that, given a simple planar graph  $G$  of pathwidth  $k$  on at least three vertices, outputs a plane triangulation  $G^\theta$  of  $G$  such that  $\text{pw}(G^\theta) \leq O(k)$ .*

# Triangulated instance of CO



# Dual Graph




## Black Box # 2

Theorem (Amini, Huc, and Pérennes'09)

*For a triconnected planar graph  $G$ ,  $\text{pw}(G) \leq 3 \text{pw}(G) + 2$ , where  $G$  is the dual graph of  $G$ .*

# st-Planar graph




A digraph  $G$  is an st-planar graph if it admits a planar embedding such that:

- (1) it contains no directed cycle;
- (2) it contains a single source vertex  $s$  and a single sink vertex  $t$ ;
- (3)  $s$  and  $t$  both belong to the external face of the planar embedding.

A digraph  $G$  is upward if and only if  $G$  is a subgraph of an st-planar graph.

A triconnected st-planar graph has a unique upward planar embedding (up to its outer face).

# st-Planar graph



A digraph  $G$  is an st-planar graph if it admits a planar embedding such that:


- (1) it contains no directed cycle;
- (2) it contains a single source vertex  $s$  and a single sink vertex  $t$ ;
- (3)  $s$  and  $t$  both belong to the external face of the planar embedding.

A digraph  $G$  is upward if and only if  $G$  is a subgraph of an st-planar graph.

A triconnected st-planar graph has a unique upward planar embedding (up to its outer face).



# st-Planar graph




A digraph  $G$  is an st-planar graph if it admits a planar embedding such that:

- (1) it contains no directed cycle;
- (2) it contains a single source vertex  $s$  and a single sink vertex  $t$ ;
- (3)  $s$  and  $t$  both belong to the external face of the planar embedding.


A digraph  $G$  is upward if and only if  $G$  is a subgraph of an st-planar graph.

A triconnected st-planar graph has a unique upward planar embedding (up to its outer face).

# Orienting the Dual Graph



# Angle Assignment



# Characterization of UP-graphs

## Theorem (BBLM'94, DGL'09)

Let  $E$  be a planar embedding of the underlying graph of  $G$ , and  $\lambda$  be an assignment of each angle of each face in  $E$  to a value in  $\{ -1, 0, 1 \}$ . Then  $E$  and  $\lambda$  define an upward planar embedding of  $G$  if and only if the following properties hold:

**UP0** If  $\alpha$  is a switch angle, then  $\lambda(\alpha) \in \{ -1, 1 \}$ , and if  $\alpha$  is a flat angle, then  $\lambda(\alpha) = 0$ .


**UP1** If  $v$  is a switch vertex of  $G$ , then  $n_1(v) = 1$ ,  $n_{-1}(v) = \deg(v) - 1$ ,  $n_0(v) = 0$ .

**UP2** If  $v$  is a non-switch vertex of  $G$ , then  $n_1(v) = 0$ ,  $n_{-1}(v) = \deg(v) - 2$ ,  $n_0(v) = 2$ .

**UP3** If  $f$  is a face of  $G$ , then


$$n_1(f) \quad n_{-1}(f) = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } f \text{ is an internal face,} \\ +2 & \text{if } f \text{ is the outer face.} \end{cases}$$

# Tendrils<sup>2</sup> Gadget





<sup>2</sup>A. Garg and R. Tamassia, On the Computational Complexity of Upward and Rectilinear Planarity Testing, SIAM J. Computing, 1994

# Orienting the Dual Graph



# Reduction Idea: Face Balancing



# ... and Orthogonal Planarity Testing

---



- Important that we start with a triangulated graph
- Subdivision of edges to allow an orthogonal embedding
- Orthogonal Tendiril<sup>3</sup>

---

<sup>3</sup>A. Garg and R. Tamassia, On the Computational Complexity of Upward and Rectilinear Planarity Testing, SIAM J. Computing, 1994

## Concluding remarks

---

We have proved that

Known  $n^{O(tw)}$ -algorithms cannot be improved to  $n^{o(tw)}$  under ETH.

What other points are also one might find interesting:

- Alternative<sup>4</sup> proof of NP-completeness
- Hardness extends for cutwidth of the primal

---

<sup>4</sup>A. Garg and R. Tamassia, On the Computational Complexity of Upward and Rectilinear Planarity Testing, SIAM J. Computing, 1994

- Membership in  $XNLP^5$  of both Upward and Orthogonal Planarity Testing: can be solved nondeterministically in time  $f(k)n^{O(1)}$  and space  $f(k)\log(n)$ ?
- FPT or  $W[1]$ -hard for taking as a parameter the cutwidth of the dual graph
- More restrictive parameterizations may yield FPT algorithms

---

<sup>5</sup>H. L. Bodlaender et al. Parameterized Problems Complete for Nondeterministic FPT time and Logarithmic Space, FOCS'21

# Thank you for your attention!

## Further directions

- Membership in XNLP
- Cutwidth of the dual graph
- Other parameterizations

## Contents

Overview [Key steps]  
MClique to AoNF  
Planar AoNF  
AoNF-pl to CO  
CO to UpPlanarity  
CO to OrtPlanarity  
Remarks