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ABSTRACT
The assignment problem is one of the most well-studied settings in social choice, matching, and discrete allocation. We consider this problem with the additional feature that agents’ preferences involve uncertainty. The setting with uncertainty leads to a number of interesting questions including the following ones. How to compute an assignment with the highest probability of being Pareto optimal? What is the complexity of computing the probability that a given assignment is Pareto optimal? Does there exist an assignment that is Pareto optimal with probability one? We consider these problems under two natural uncertainty models: (1) the lottery model in which each agent has an independent probability distribution over linear orders and (2) the joint probability model that involves a joint probability distribution over preference profiles. For both of these models, we present a number of algorithmic and complexity results highlighting the differences and similarities in the complexity of the two models.
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1. INTRODUCTION
When preferences of agents are aggregated to identify a desirable social outcome, Pareto optimality is a minimal requirement. Pareto optimality stipulates that there should not be another outcome that is as good for all agents and better for at least one agent. We take Pareto optimality as a central concern and consider a richer version of the classical assignment problem where the twist is that agents may express uncertainty in their preferences. The assignment problem is a fundamental setting in which n agents express preferences over n items and each agent is to be allocated one item. The setting is a classical one in discrete allocation and its axiomatic and computational aspects have been well-studied [2, 3, 6, 8, 10, 15, 21, 22]. Our motivation for studying assignment with uncertain preferences is that agents’ preferences may not be completely known due to lack of information or communication.

Our work is inspired by the recent work of Aziz et al. [7] who examined the stable marriage problem under uncertain preferences. Uncertainty in preferences has already been studied in voting [16]. Similarly, in auction theory, it is standard to examine Bayesian settings in which there is probability distribution over the types of the agents. Although computational aspects of Pareto optimal outcomes have been intensely studied in various settings such as assignment, matching, housing markets, and committee voting [3, 5, 6, 9, 12, 17, 18, 19], there has not been much work on Pareto optimal under uncertain preferences. In the presence of uncertainty, one can relax the goal of computing a Pareto optimal outcome and focus on computing outcomes that have the highest probability of being Pareto optimal. We will abbreviate Pareto optimal as PO. If an assignment is Pareto optimal with probability one, we will call it certainly PO.

We consider the following uncertainty models:

• Lottery Model: For each agent, we are given a probability distribution over linear preferences.
• Joint Probability Model: A probability distribution over linear preference profiles is specified.

Note that both the lottery model and the joint probability model representation can be exponential in the number of agents but if the support of the probability distributions is small, then the representation is compact. Also note that the product of the independent uncertain preferences in the lottery model results in a probability distribution over preference profiles and hence can be represented in the joint probability model. However, the change in representation can result in a blowup. Thus whereas the joint probability model is more general than the lottery model, it is not as compact. In view of this, complexity results for one model do not directly carry over to the other model.

The most natural computational problems that we will consider are as follows.

• PO-Probability: what is the probability that a given assignment is PO?
• COMPUTE: compute an assignment with the highest probability of being PO.

We also consider simpler problems than PO-Probability:

• IsPO-ProbabilityNon-Zero: for a given assignment, is its probability of being PO non-zero?
We also consider a problem connected to AssignmentWithHighestPO-Probability: ExistsCertainlyPO-Assignment asks whether there exists an assignment that is PO with probability one. Note that ExistsPossiblyPO-Assignment—the problem of checking whether there exists some PO assignment with non-zero probability—is trivial for all uncertainty models in which the induced certainly preferred relation is acyclic. An agent certainly prefers an item to another if the preference is with probability 1. The reason for the triviality is that the certainly preferred relation can be completed in a way so that it is transitive, and then for the completed deterministic preferences there exists at least one PO assignment.

We say that a given uncertainty model is independent if any uncertain preference profile $L$ under the model can be written as a product of uncertain preferences $L_a$ for all agents $a$, where all $L_a$'s are independent [7]. Note that the lottery model is independent but the joint probability model is not.

Results.

We show that for both the lottery model and the joint probability model, ExistsCertainlyPO-Assignment is NP-complete. We also prove that AssignmentWithHighestPO-Probability is NP-hard for both models. In view of these results, we see that as we move from deterministic preferences to uncertain preferences, the complexity of computing Pareto optimal assignments jumps significantly. On the other hand, we show that for a general class of independent uncertainty models, both problems IsPO-ProbabilityNon-Zero and IsPO-ProbabilityOne can be solved in linear time. Whereas PO-Probability is polynomial-time solvable for the joint probability model, we prove that it is #P-complete for the lottery model. This problem becomes polynomial-time solvable for the lottery model if there is a constant number of uncertain agents. Moreover, we show that the problem PO-Probability for the lottery model can be solved in fixed-parameter tractable time when parameterized by the number of uncertain agents.

Our results are summarized in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Problems</th>
<th>Lottery Model</th>
<th>Joint Probability Model</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PO-Probability</td>
<td>#P-complete</td>
<td>in P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>but in FPT w.r.t. parameter: in P</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IsPO-ProbabilityNon-Zero</td>
<td>in P</td>
<td>in P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IsPO-ProbabilityOne</td>
<td>in P</td>
<td>in P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ExistsPossiblyPO-Assignment</td>
<td>in P (trivially)</td>
<td>in P (trivially)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ExistsCertainlyPO-Assignment</td>
<td>NP-complete</td>
<td>NP-complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AssignmentWithHighestPO-Prob</td>
<td>NP-hard</td>
<td>NP-hard</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Summary of results.

- IsPO-ProbabilityOne: for a given assignment, is its probability of being PO one?

2. CONCLUSIONS

Computing Pareto optimal outcomes is an active line of research in economics and computer science. In this paper, we examined the problem for an assignment setting where the preferences of the agents are uncertain. Our central technical results are computational hardness results. We see that as we move from deterministic preferences to uncertain preferences, the complexity of computing Pareto optimal outcomes jumps significantly. The computational hardness results carry over to more complex models in which there may be more items than agents, agents may have capacities, and items may have copies. For future work, we have started considering other uncertainty models [7]. If we allow for intransitive preferences, even a possibly Pareto optimal assignment may not exist and the problem of checking whether a possible Pareto optimal assignment exists becomes interesting. An orthogonal but equally interesting direction will be to consider other fairness, stability, or efficiency desiderata [4].
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